arXiv:1603.00986v1 [math.DG] 3 Mar 2016

Local solution to the G_2-monopole equation with prescribed tangent cone and G_2-structure

Yuanqi Wang*

Given a G_2-structure (ϕ, ψ), on the G_2-monopole equation

$$F_{A^\star} \wedge \psi + \star_\phi (d_{A^\star} u) = 0 \text{ of a connection } A^\star \text{ and a bundle-valued } 0-\text{form } u, \quad (1)$$

the following theorem is true.

Theorem 1. *Let $B_O(R) \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ be an arbitrary ball centred at the origin. For any smooth G_2-structure (ϕ, ψ) defined over $B_O(R)$, and any smooth $SO(m)-$bundle $\eta \to \mathbb{S}^6$ equipped with a Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection A_0, there exists a G_2-monopole which is defined in a smaller ball and asymptotic to A_0 exponentially at O.*

Remark 2. *Not every singular elliptic equation admits a local solution. For example, Brezis-Cabré [1] showed that the equation $\Delta u = -\frac{u^2+1}{|x|^2}$ does not admit any solution defined near the origin. In contrast, our theorem says that the singular G_2-monopole equation is always locally solvable. In particular, for any smooth G_2-structure defined near O, it yields a G_2-monopole tangent to the canonical connection on $\mathbb{S}^6$ (see [2] and [14]). We hope this could help to construct G_2-instantons with point singularities on a closed $7-$manifold.*

Remark 3. *We expect the local solution to be highly non-unique. There exists a solution whose exponential rate is arbitrarily close to 1 [see (11) and the discussion below (4)].*

The monopole equation in G_2-setting first appeared in [4] by Donaldson-Segal. For highly-related later development on G_2 or other kinds of monopoles (instantons), we refer the interested readers to the work done by Sa Earp-Walpuski [10], Walpuski [12], Oliveira ([6], [7], [8], [9]), Foscolo [5], Charbonneau-Harland [2], Xu [14], and the references therein.

Proof of Theorem 1: Near O, (ϕ, ψ) is a small perturbation of $[\phi(O), \psi(O)]$. Using a sophisticated version of the rescaling in page 6-9 of [3], we show in the following that Theorem 1 is a direct corollary of Theorem 1.13 in [13].

*Department of Mathematics, Stony Brook University, NY, USA. ywang@scgp.stonybrook.edu.

Let the coordinate vector of $B_O(R) \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ be $v = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_7 \end{bmatrix}$. All the balls below are centred at O. By Lemma 3.7 in [11], there exists a linear transformation L such that under the new coordinate $y = Lv$, $\phi(O)$ is the Euclidean G_2-form i.e.

$$\phi(O) = dy^{123} - dy^{145} - dy^{167} - dy^{246} + dy^{257} - dy^{347} - dy^{356}. \tag{2}$$

It suffices to work under the $y-$coordinate, under which ϕ is defined in $B(R_0)$ for some $R_0 > 0$ depending on R and L. We bring in the bundle η as defined over $S^6(1)$ (the unit sphere), and then view it as a bundle over $\mathbb{R}^7 \setminus O$ pulled back from the natural spherical projection (Remark 2.3 in [13]). The connection A_0 is pulled-back to be a G_2-instanton on $\mathbb{R}^7 \setminus O$ with respect to $\phi(O)$.

We write $\phi = \Sigma_{ijk}\phi_{ijk}dy^{ijk}$. Let Γ denote the map $x = \Gamma(y) = \lambda y$ from $B(\frac{1}{4\lambda})$ to $B(\frac{1}{4})$. To the $x-$coordinate, exactly as in the previous paragraph, we can also pull back the bundles η, $ad\eta$, and A_0 (denoted the same as in $y-$coordinate). Since they are objects on $\mathbb{S}^6$, they are invariant under Γ. Let

$$\widetilde{\phi} = \Sigma_{ijk}\Gamma^{-1,\star}(\phi_{ijk})dx^{ijk} = \lambda^3\Gamma^{-1,\star}\phi, \text{ where } \phi_{ijk} \text{ is the same as above.} \tag{3}$$

Let c_ϕ denote $C\Sigma_{ijk}|\phi_{ijk} - \phi_{ijk}(O)|_{C^5_y[B(\frac{1}{4\lambda})]}$, where C is a proper universal constant (which could be different in various context), and C^5_y means the C^5-norm in $y-$coordinate. By chain-rule we have for any x that

$$|\nabla^k_x(\Gamma^{-1,\star}\phi_{ijk} - \phi_{ijk}(O))|(x) \leq \frac{c_\phi}{\lambda^k}, \text{ for all integer } k \in [1,5] \text{ and } x \in B(\frac{1}{4}),$$

∇_x is as below (6). Moreover,

$$|(\Gamma^{-1,\star}\phi_{ijk} - \phi_{ijk}(O))|(x) = |\phi_{ijk} - \phi_{ijk}(O))|(y) \leq \frac{c_\phi}{\lambda} \text{ when } x \in B(\frac{1}{4}) \ (y \in B(\frac{1}{4\lambda})).$$

Therefore

$$|\widetilde{\phi} - \widetilde{\phi}(O)|_{C^5_x[B(\frac{1}{4})]} \leq \frac{c_\phi}{\lambda}, \ C^5_x \text{ means the } C^5 - \text{norm in } x\text{-coordinate.} \tag{4}$$

We actually have a $(\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{\psi})-$monopole on $B(\frac{1}{4})$ of exponential rate arbitrarily close to 1. To see this, for any $1 > \theta > 0$, choose $p \in (-\frac{5}{2}, \theta - \frac{5}{2})$ such that the condition in Definition 2.21 of [13] is satisfied. Let δ_0 be small enough with respect to A_0 and p, Theorem 1.13 in [13] (and the rate of convergence given by the proof of it) is directly applicable. Therefore, let λ be large enough such that $\frac{c_\phi}{\lambda} < \frac{\delta_0}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{4\lambda} < \frac{R_0}{2}$, there exists a $(\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{\psi})-$monopole (A, σ) over $B(\frac{1}{4})$ i.e.

$$F_A \wedge \widetilde{\psi} + \star_{\widetilde{g}} d_A\sigma = 0 \text{ over } B(\frac{1}{4}), \ \widetilde{g} \text{ is the metric of } \widetilde{\phi}. \tag{5}$$

Moreover, let δ_0 be even smaller if necessary, by the proof of Theorem 1.13 in section 5 of [13] (also see Definition 2.9 and Theorem 5.1 therein), A is of exponential rate $1-\theta$ and order 3 i.e.

$$|x|^{l+1}|\nabla_x^l(A-A_0)|(x) \leq |x|^{1-\theta}, \text{ for any integer } l \in [0,3], \tag{6}$$

where $|x|$ is just the usual norm of x, and ∇_x is the ordinary derivative (of the components of $A-A_0$) under the natural charts as in Definition 2.10 of [13] (of course here $\eta \to \mathbb{S}^6$ and A_0 might be trivialized by more than 2 coordinate neighbourhoods, but this does not make any difference).

Pulling back both sides of (5) via Γ, we obtain

$$F_{A^\star} \wedge (\lambda^4\psi) + \Gamma^\star[\star_{\widetilde{g}}(d_A\sigma)] = 0 \text{ over } B(\frac{1}{4\lambda}),\ A^\star = \Gamma^\star A. \tag{7}$$

Using

$$\Gamma^\star[\star_{\widetilde{g}}(d_A\sigma)] = \star_{\Gamma^\star\widetilde{g}}\Gamma^\star(d_A\sigma),\ \Gamma^\star\widetilde{g} = \lambda^2 g, \text{ and } \star_{\lambda^2 g} = \lambda^5 \star_g \text{ (see Remark 4)}, \tag{8}$$

where g is the metric of ϕ, we obtain

$$F_{A^\star} \wedge \psi + \star_g d_{A^\star}(\lambda\sigma^\star) = 0,\ \sigma^\star = \Gamma^\star\sigma. \tag{9}$$

The pair $(A^\star, \lambda\sigma^\star)$ is the monopole we desire. Since $\Gamma^\star A_0 = A_0$ (as a connection, see the paragraph above (3)), the estimate (6) means

$$|y|^{l+1}|\nabla_y^l(A^\star - A_0)|(y) \leq \lambda^{1-\theta}|y|^{1-\theta}, \tag{10}$$

where l is as in (6), and ∇_y is as under (6) but in $y-$coordinate. Since A_0 is smooth on $\mathbb{S}^6(1)$, we directly verify by (10) that

$$|y|^{l+1}|\nabla_{A_0}^l(A^\star - A_0)|(y) \leq C\lambda^{1-\theta}|y|^{1-\theta}, \tag{11}$$

where C is a constant depending only on A_0.

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete. □

Remark 4. *Under a fixed coordinate basis, for any G_2-structure ϕ, the components of the co-associative form ψ and the associated metric g only depend on the components of ϕ. Moreover, the dependence is via a composition only of power functions, fractions, and polynomials in terms of the components of ϕ. Thus we directly verify (7) and (8).*

References

[1] H. Brezis, X. Cabré. *Some simple nonlinear PDEs without solutions.* Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. 1. 223262 (1998).

[2] B. Charbonneau, D. Harland. *Deformations of nearly Kähler instantons.* arXiv:1510.07720.

[3] X.X. Chen, Y.Q. Wang. *$C^{2,\alpha}$-estimate for Monge-Ampere equations with Hölder-continuous right hand side.* arXiv:1406.5825. To appear in Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry.

[4] S.K. Donaldson, E. Segal. *Gauge Theory in higher dimensions, II.* from: "Geometry of special holonomy and related topics", edited by N.C. Leung, S.T. Yau, Surv. Differ. Geom. 16, International Press (2011) 141.

[5] L. Foscolo. *Deformation theory of periodic monopoles (with singularities).* arXiv:1411.6946.

[6] G. Oliveira. *G_2-monopoles with singularities (examples).* Unpublished work.

[7] G. Oliveira. *Monopoles on the Bryant-Salamon G_2-manifolds.* Journal of Geometry and Physics, vol. 86 (2014), pp. 599-632, ISSN 0393-0440.

[8] G. Oliveira. *Calabi-Yau Monopoles for the Stenzel Metric.* To appear in Communications in Mathematical Physics.

[9] G. Oliveira. *Monopoles on 3 dimensional AC manifolds.* arXiv:1412.2252.

[10] H. Sa Earp, T. Walpuski. *G_2-instantons over twisted connected sums.* Geometry Topology 19 (2015) 1263-1285.

[11] D.A. Salamon, T. Walpuski. *Notes on the octonians.* arXiv:1005.2820.

[12] T. Walpuski. *G_2-instantons on generalised Kummer constructions.* Geometry and Topology 17 (2013). 2345-2388.

[13] Y.Q. Wang. *Deformation of singular connections I: G_2-instantons with point singularities.* arXiv:1602.05701.

[14] F. Xu. *On instantons on nearly Kähler 6-manifolds.* Asian. J. Math. 2009. International Press Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 535-568, December 2009.